

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexandra, Vignaia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/783,233	02/14/2001	George T. Spitz	390533	1553	
75	7590 07/02/2003		<i>\int_{i}</i>		
LATHROP & GAGE, LC Suite 2800 2345 Grand Boulevard			EXAMINER		
			MEDLEY, MARGARET B		
Kansas City, M	O 64108		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1714		
			DATE MAILED: 07/02/2003	DATE MAILED: 07/02/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			8. 10
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	09/783,233	SPITZ ET AL.	
, and y , touch	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Margaret B. Medley	1714	
The MAILING DATE of this communication	appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence a	ddress
THE REPLY FILED FAILS TO PLACE THIS Therefore, further action by the applicant is required final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of A Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.11	er: (1) a timely filed amendme appeal (with appeal fee); or (3)	application. A proper re nt which places the appli	cation in
PERIOD FO	OR REPLY [check either a) or	b)]	
a) $\square$ The period for reply expires $3$ months from the mailing			
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of no event, however, will the statutory period for reply of ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(affee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the price under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. Set	expire later than SIX MONTHS from to Y WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTH I.). The date on which the petition und period of extension and the correspond that are the shortened statutory period the Office later than three months after In the shortened statutory period the Office later than three months after II was shortened statutory period the Office later than three months after II was shortened statutory period the Office later than three months after II was shortened statutory period the Office later than three months after II was shortened statutory period the Office later than three months after II was shortened statutory period the Office later than three months after II was shortened statutory period the Office later than three months after II was shortened statutory period the Office later than three months after II was shortened statutory period the Office later than three months after II was shortened statutory period the Office later than three months after II was shortened statutory period the Office later than three months after II was shortened statutory period the Office later than three months after II was shortened statutory period the Office shortened statutory period II was shortened statutory shortened statutory period the Office shortened statutory shortened	he mailing date of the final reje HS OF THE FINAL REJECTION For 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the ap ding amount of the fee. The ap for reply originally set in the fin	oction.  N. See MPEP  opropriate extension ppropriate extension al Office action; or
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appe 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (3	llant's Brief must be filed withi 7 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid disr	n the period set forth in nissal of the appeal.	
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be enter	red because:		
(a)  they raise new issues that would require	further consideration and/or s	earch (see NOTE below)	);
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see N	Note below);		
(c) X they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	ation in better form for appeal l	by materially reducing or	simplifying the
(d) they present additional claims without ca	anceling a corresponding num	ber of finally rejected claim	ims.
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following r	rejection(s):		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) v canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	would be allowable if submitted	d in a separate, timely file	ed amendment
5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) reques application in condition for allowance because		en considered but does N	IOT place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	d because it is not directed SC	DLELY to issues which w	ere newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amend explanation of how the new or amended claim			d and an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as foll	lows:		
Claim(s) allowed: None.			
Claim(s) objected to: None.			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-16</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	<u>_</u> .		
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on	is a) approved or b)	disapproved by the Exa	miner.
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Sta	tement(s)( PTO-1449) Paper	No(s)	
10. 1 Other: Interieur Summay, FTOL-	449.		
		Margaret B. Mac Margaret B. Med Primary Examine Art Unit: 1714	dley () er

Continuation of 2. NOTE: The amendnemts to counts 1 and 16 if enterd would not overcome the instance of record. A review of the specification indicates that the imino content apears to be directed to the mixture of momoneric and oligomeric mixture in the comp[osiiton as set forth in paragraph 3 on page 7 of the specification and as as further described in Example 1 on page 11 as well as the othersexamples. When viewed in this light it is unclear if the imino content of a) and b) as listed in claims 1 and 16 is directed to the composition content or if it is directed to the a) imino content and to the b) imino content. Claims 1 and 16 are further confusion in that the dependent claims 4-7 and 10-14 jmino content appear to be directed to the composition inimo content which appears to be in conflict with claims 1 and 16 imino content directed to the a) and b) imino content. Claims 1 and 16 are further confusing in that the part b) i), ii) and iii) b) component appears to be a more narrower range and further limitations of the part b) of claims 1 and 16 broad range. It appears that once the inimo content issues are cleared up that the limittions of part b) i), ii) and iii) of claims 1 and 16 should be drafted a independent claims. In view of the above issues the amendments to claims 1 and 16 will not be entered of record ..